



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov  
DOW 09-04

RECEIVED

SEP 17 2004

Paper No. 12

MICHAEL DE ANGELO  
INFORMATION EQUITY CORPORATION  
100 SOUTH SUNRISE BOULEVARD, SUITE 470  
PALM SPRINGS CA 92262

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

COPY MAILED

SEP 03 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS  
RECEIVED

SEP 21 2004

Technology Center 2100

In re Application of :  
Michael DeAngelo :  
Application No. 09/284,113 :  
Filed: 7 April, 1999 :  
Att'y Docket No. 3726-US :

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 1.137(b),<sup>1</sup> filed on 10 August, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on 12 May, 2003, for failure to timely submit a response to the non-final Office action mailed on 11 February, 2003, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply

---

<sup>1</sup>Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 30 October, 2003.

There is no indication that petition herein was ever empowered to prosecute the instant application. If petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney documentation must be mailed. A courtesy copy of this decision will be mailed to petitioner. However, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center 2100 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (703)308-6918.



Douglas I. Wood  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

cc: Fish & Richardson, P.C.  
500 Arguello Street, Suite 500  
Redwood City, CA 94063